

# U-Multirank Developing a concept and testing the feasibility of a multi-dimensional global university ranking

Gero Federkeil, Frans Kaiser CHERPA Network

> IREG 5 Conference Berlin, 6 – 8 October 2010



## Presentation

- I. Context
- II. Basic Approach: Mapping Diversity
- III. Indicators
- IV. Pilot Study
- V. Conclusions



# Projectpartners



Center for Higher Education Development (CHE)

Center for Higher Education Policy Studies (CHEPS)

Centre for Science and Technology Studies (CWTS)



International Centre for Research on Entrepreneurship, Technology and Innovation Management (INCENTIM)





European Foundation for Management Development (EFMD)

European Federation of National Engineering Associations (FEANI)



#### Context: Policy Issues





## Context: Global rankings

#### **Global university rankings**



Confined to research excellence of international research universities (in sciences): de-valuation of other profiles

Need to take into account diversity of higher education institutions



- development of concept and feasibility study
- global ranking (not only European)
- multi-dimensional
  - teaching and learning (incl. employability)
  - research
  - knowledge transfer
  - internationalisation (incl. mobility)
  - community outreach
- •institutional and field-based (disciplines)
- all types of higher education and research institutions
- multiple stakeholders



## Presentation

- I. Context
- II. Basic Approach: Mapping Diversity
- III. Indicators
- IV. Pilot Study
- V. Conclusions





#### U-Map and U-Multirank





# U-Map institutional profiles

"University F"

"University K"

. . . . . . . . .





1	Teaching & Learning
Ì	Research

**Knowledge Transfer** 

**International Orientation** 

**Regional Engagement** 



U-Multirank – Basic concepts

#### **Basic methodological approach**

#### **Multi dimensional**

- Multi-dimensional view on profiles
- No composite overall indicator
- No fixed weights for indicators
- Interactive ranking

#### Groups (no league table)

- Avoiding false impressions of exactness
- No exaggeration of differences between HEIs





## Presentation

- I. Context
- II. Basic Approach: Mapping Diversity
- **III. Indicators**
- IV. Pilot Study
- V. Conclusions





## Indicators Teaching & learning

	In (inter)national database	In institutional databases	Not readily available	Combined rating	
Graduation Rate		$\triangle$		Α	
Time to Degree				В	
Relative Rate of Graduate (Un)employment				В	
Interdisciplinarity of programmes				В	



## Indicators Research

tirank Institutional	ln (inter)national database	ln institutional databases	Not readily available	Combined rating	
Citations	$\land$			Α	
Number of post-doc positions		$\land$		Α	
Expenditure on research				Α	$\checkmark$
Research publication output	$\triangle$			В	
Art-related outputs				В	
Highly cited research publications	$\triangle$			В	
National/international awards and prizes won				В	
Research income from competitive sources				В	$\checkmark$
Interdisciplinary research activities	$\land$			В	$\checkmark$



# Indicators Knowledge transfer

........

tirank					
Institutional	In (inter)national database	In institutional databases	Not readily available	Combined rating	
Incentives for Knowledge Exchange				Α	
University-Industry Joint Research Publications	$\land$			A	
Third Party Funding		$\land$		Α	
Patents	$\land$			Α	
Size of Technology Transfer Office				В	
CPD courses offered				В	
License Agreements				В	
Co-patents				В	



# Indicators International orientation

-Multirank								
Institutional	In (inter)national database	In institutional databases	Not readily available	Combined rating				
Educational programs in foreign language				А				
Number of joint degree programs		$\land$		А				
International joint research publications	$\triangle$			Α				
International academic staff				В	$\checkmark$			
International partnerships				В				
International Graduate Employment rate				В	$\checkmark$			



# Indicators Regional engagement

ultirank						
	Institutional	ln (inter)national database	In institutional databases	Not readily available	Combined rating	
Income from	n regional/local sources				А	
Graduates v	vorking in the region				В	$\sqrt{*}$
Student inte	ernships in local/regional enterprises				В	$\sqrt{*}$
Research Co	ontracts with Regional Business				В	
Regional joi	nt research publications	$\mathbf{\Delta}$			В	



## Presentation

- I. Context
- II. Basic Approach: Mapping Diversity
- III. Indicators
- IV. Pilot Study
- V. Conclusions



Sample plan





# More internationally oriented, research intensive institutions

•A minimum percentage of MA/graduate students (30 %)

- A minimum percentage of international students (10 %)
- Research: performing among the top 500 in the world in terms of bibliometric indicators

#### **Profile B**

**Profile A** 

More regionally oriented institutions with a focus on undergraduate education

- Majority of students in BA/Undergraduate programmes (>70 %)
- Mainly regional recruitment





Indicators **General approach** The pilot Context



Volunteering pilot institutions are still welcome !

In case of interest please contact:

Gero Federkeil, gero.federkeil@che-ranking.de

Frans Kaiser, f.kaiser@utwente.nl



Analyses and presentation of results



## Presentation

- I. Context
- II. Basic Approach: Mapping Diversity
- III. Indicators
- IV. Pilot Study
- V. Conclusions



#### **Conclusions I - results**

#### **General results**

- Report on feasibility
- Concept for multi-dimensional international rankings
  - Set of indicators
  - Concept for publication /interactive web-tool
- No published ranking !!!

#### For pilot institutions

- Participation in development of new ranking
- International Benchmarking within institutional profiles



How to ensure clearly defined/separated role of classification and rankings?

Relation of field-based and institutional rankings? Method of aggregation on institutional level

What is feasible?

Validity, reliability, comparability, availability

Regional scope: What can be global, what can only be European or even regional?

Can there be a valid global ranking showing excellence beyond the international research university?



#### More Information

U-Multirank : www.u-multirank.eu

U-Map: www.u-map.eu

CHE-ranking: www.che-concept.de www.che.ranking.de



# U-Multirank Developing a concept and testing the feasibility of a multi-dimensional global university ranking

Gero Federkeil, Frans Kaiser CHERPA Network

> IREG 5 Conference Berlin, 6 – 8 October 2010