Business School and Media Rankings

Peter Lindstrom, University of St. Gallen Representing AACSB International October 8, 2010



STALLES FOR SA NA RA

AACSB's Mission

AACSB International advances quality management education worldwide through accreditation, thought leadership, and value-added services.



The World of Management Education

Region	AACSB Members	AACSB Accredited	In AACSB Accred. Process	Estimated Schools w/ Business Programs
Africa	13	1	1	756
Asia	172	37	45	5,954
Europe	193	47	52	1,968
Latin America & Caribbean	58	10	11	1,970
Northern America	699	491	69	1,725
Oceania	33	10	12	99
Totals	1,168	596	190	12,472



Source: AACSB analysis, as of April 6, 2010

Characteristics of AACSB Accredited Schools

- Mission Driven
- Stakeholder involvement
- Research oriented
- Qualified Faculty current in their field
- Verified learning outcomes
- Focused on high quality and continuous development



AACSB and the rankings

R AND

The Business School Rankings Dilemma Report published in 2005



About Rankings

- Focus on MBA programs
- Limit the number of programs included
- Use various methodologies
 - Student and alumni surveys
 - Recruiter surveys
 - Deans and directors surveys
 - Data provided by schools
- Collapse data into a ordinal ranking



About AACSB

- Focus on Mission
- All business programs within an institution included
- 21 standards divided in 3 main groups
 - Strategic Management Standards
 - Participant standards (faculty, administration, support staff and students)
 - Assurance of learning
- Accreditation report with consultative feedback developed by peers.



Benefits of Rankings

- Increases visibility of business education
- Provides channels to advertise programs
- Offers stakeholder feedback



Negatives of Rankings

- Measures selected based on convenience
- Expensive for schools
- Data
- Surface level changes



Methodology Challenges

- Do not measure quality
- Assume homogeneity
 - Programs
 - Students
 - Recruiters
- Exaggerate differences and changes



Unintended Impacts

- Foster misperceptions
- Favor surface-level changes over substantive improvements
- Stifle innovation and diversity
- Require extensive resources to participate



AACSB's Position

- Rankings are important and must be managed
- Rankings should not drive strategy
- Rankings are not the only measure of success
- Rankings do not substitute for AACSB accreditation



AACSB's role in the rankings dilemma for business schools

Communicate to influence Label MBA rankings accurately Convert from rankings to ratings Improve the methodologies and measures



AACSB's role in the rankings dilemma for business schools

- Expand the role in defining and collecting Data
 - AACSB has the largest searchable database
 - developed definitions with GMAC and MBA CSC)



AACSB's role in the rankings dilemma for business schools

- Strengthen the external value of accreditation
 - Accreditation (AACSB or from any other respected accreditation organization) – the alternative to rankings!





Questions and Answers

