

GLOBAL INSTITUTIONAL PROFILES PROJECT

Times Higher Education World University Rankings





THOMSON REUTERS

Introduction & Overview

- The Global Institutional Profiles Project aims to capture a comprehensive picture of academic institutions around the globe
- The first use of this data will be to inform the 2010 *Times Higher Education World University Rankings.*
- Access to the data will be available as a commercial service in 2011





About Times Higher Education

The weekly magazine for all higher education professionals









Times Higher Education

 In November 2009, Times Higher Education (THE) announced:

"We have signed an agreement with Thomson Reuters, the world's leading research data specialist, to provide all the data for our annual World University Rankings from 2010 and beyond

We have decided to end our relationship with QS, who will have no further involvement in Times Higher Education's annual World University Rankings."

 Thomson Reuters will provide the data for the World University Rankings for 2010 and beyond





Thomson Reuters response

- We are carefully listening to stakeholders in institutional evaluation and developing services based on their requirements
- Thomson Reuters do not produce a ranking
- We capture data from various sources and provide expert analysis and interpretation to that data.
- The biggest challenge is to make fair comparisons across international boundaries:
 - Information on a various aspects of performance
 - Subject specific data
 - Information that is valid, relevant and internationally comparable
 - Sensible use of proxies



Profiles Project – Academic Reputation Survey

- In order to clearly understand the reputational standing of universities globally we conducted a carefully designed survey
- Asked academics around the world to give feedback on the reputation of academic institutions, with a clear distinction between the reputation for *Research* and *Teaching*
 - Produced with the help of a 3rd party specialist
 - Invitation only to prevent manipulation of results
 - Structured sampling of invitations for regional and subject balance
 - Respondents rate reputation within their own area of expertise
 - Survey was translated into multiple languages to over come English language bias
 - Post survey analysis per country to overcome regional differences in response rate
- The survey completed in May 2010 with record levels of response



Reputation Survey results analysis

28%

- America 38% Africa 4%
- Asia Pacific 30%
- Europe —

13,388 responses received Mixed function of respondents

 Academic Staff 	69%
 Research staff 	15%
 Institutional leadership 	7%
 Graduate/post grad students 	6%



THOMSON REUTERS

Profiles Project – Institutional Data Gathering

- Collect factual data directly from the participating institutions
- Institutions provide detailed information about their activities across multiple subject areas
- Thomson Reuters has made considerable efforts to collect high quality, comparable data with a minimum work burden for the participating institutions.
 - Use existing data sources when available
 - Common data definitions for all institutions
 - Worked with external advisors to fine tune the definitions and make them comparable across international boundaries
 - Utilizing existing international standards for statistical reporting of education and research (UNESCO & OECD)
 - Strong support structure, documentation, webinars etc.



Profiles Project – Data Validation

- Data needs to be validated to make sure it is accurate and complete.
 - Cross-check with publicly available data sources
 - Logical data check
 - "Reality" check identifying outliers and anomalies

 Because this is a new project, there are no prior data collections to make comparisons





Profiles Project – Institutional Data Gathering

- We collect information on the institution's publication activity including the number of articles and citations.
 - Our data source is the Web of Science, widely recognised as the gold standard for research evaluation
 - Performed a detailed name unification process conforming to common guidelines for inclusion and exclusion of affiliated institutions.
- We normalised the data to overcome differences in the citation behaviour of different subjects





Profiles Project – Data Interpretation

- Data, where possible is normalized to create comparability across different fields of study. For example citation impact.
- However, we recognize that there are also differences in other aspects, such as funding.
 - We did not collect enough subject specific data this year to normalize for differences in funding and other areas.
 - We are focusing our efforts to collect this data in more detail.
- We also used Purchasing Power Parity to modify for differences in costs globally.
- We used other regional modifications to overcome regional trends





World University Ranking – methodology



For the latest World University Rankings news, debate and social networking, see www.timeshighereducation.co.uk/world-university-rankings/





Rankings website: http://bit.ly/thewur





KEY CHANGES IN TIMES HIGHER'S 'WORLD UNIVERSITY RANKINGS'

- A more rounded picture than given by 'research power'
 - Research achievement is primary, but we need balance and quality, not volume
- New methodology makes comparisons to other rankings irrelevant
- Resources
- Scale
- Accounting for subject factors
- Accounting for international factors
- We have made major changes and we will build on these in 2011



Profiles

- The World University Ranking only provide a limited analysis of the universities performance
- Thomson Reuters *Profiles* create an informative picture of the university as a whole and can provide more in-depth analysis and comparison of many different aspects of performance



University of Wessex- OVERALL

Reputation rating score for Research:85Global Ranking for reputation in Research:35

Reputation Footprint - Research:



Reputation rating score for *Teaching*: 88 Global Ranking for reputation in *Teaching*: 24 Reputation Footprint - *Teaching*: Arts & Humanities Social Sciences Social Sciences Medicine Medicine

Life Sciences



THANK YOU

Simon Pratt Project Manager, Institutional Research

simon.pratt@thomsonreuters.com

